

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/064,582	Applicant(s) GOODNOW ET AL.	
	Examiner Dipakkumar Gandhi	Art Unit 2138	

All Participants:

(1) Dipakkumar Gandhi.

(2) Steven Fischman.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 March 2006

Time: 10:25 AM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

Claim 11.

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In claim 11, to correct a lack of antecedent basis for "said transmitter means", in line 4 of claim 11, after "successively transmitting data signals", "by a transmitter means" is inserted. The applicant's representative Steven Fischman agreed with the examiner for this correction.